Summative Activity Lesson Plan Evaluation Rubric (IPT & Metacognition)

| Criteria | Excellent (4) | Good (3) | Satisfactory (2) | Needs Improvement (1) |
|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Objective(s) | Clear, specific, and directly linked to IPT and metacognition. Demonstrates depth in learning goals. | Mostly clear and linked to IPT/metacognitio n with minor vagueness. | Objectives stated but connection to IPT/metacognitio n is weak or unclear. | Objectives missing or not related to IPT/metacognitio n. |
| Hook / Introduction | Engages learners effectively; prompts strong metacognitive reflection from the start. | Engages learners; includes metacognitive prompt but could be stronger. | Hook is present but only somewhat engaging or minimally connected to metacognition. | Hook missing or not relevant to metacognition or engagement. |
| Key Points / Content | Covers relevant IPT concepts and metacognitive strategies clearly and accurately. | Covers most IPT/metacognitiv e content accurately with minor gaps. | Content is somewhat relevant but lacks clarity or depth. | Content is incomplete, inaccurate, or unrelated. |
| Activities / Teaching Methods | Includes at least one interactive activity that actively models IPT/metacognition; promotes reflection. | Includes an activity related to IPT/metacognitio n, but engagement or reflection is limited. | Activity present but minimally connected to IPT/metacognitio n or lacks interactivity. | No meaningful activity or activity unrelated to IPT/metacognitio n. |
| Check for Understandin g | Clear, purposeful methods to assess understanding. | Assessment methods present but may not fully capture understanding. | Basic methods to check understanding, primarily content-focused. | No clear method to assess learning or metacognition. |
| Conclusion / Wrap-Up | Effectively reinforces key ideas and encourages meaningful metacognitive reflection. | Wrap-up present and somewhat encourages reflection. | Wrap-up is brief or only partially reinforces ideas/reflection. | No conclusion or irrelevant to learning/reflectio n. |
| Materials / Resources | Appropriate and well-chosen to support both content and metacognitive activities. | Materials adequate and generally supportive of lesson goals. | Materials listed but may not fully support lesson or metacognitive aims. | Materials missing or inappropriate. |